From: <u>Cora, Lori</u>

To: "Dunn, Loren"; Carl Stivers
Cc: Koch, Kristine; Allen, Elizabeth

Subject: Status of LWG September information request Date: Status of LWG September information request Wednesday, November 25, 2015 11:50:11 AM

Hello, Loren and Carl. Thank you for the time you spent yesterday going through the 42 information requests the LWG made by letter dated September 8, 2015. We talked through all 42 items and it is EPA's understanding that the disposition of each item has been resolved. In saying that, we are not saying the LWG's questions or comments on the FS have been answered or addressed, but rather the request for further documentation at this time has been resolved. The items for which EPA committed to provide additional information are:

#3 – Kristine sent Carl CDM's email yesterday (24th) at 10:57 a.m.

#5 – Kristine provided Carl and Jennifer W. the ftp site to access FS Section 1 Figures at 2:10 p.m. yesterday (24^{th}) and also provided specific groundwater plume figures at 11:02 a.m. yesterday (24^{th}).

#6 – EPA will look at the "erosion" area map in the FS and see if it reflects anything more than the sheer stress map provided by LWG, or if there is any additional information EPA can provide to explain how "erosion" areas were identified.

#14 – Kristine provided Carl information used to identify NAPL on maps yesterday at 2:23 p.m. It was acknowledged that EPA previously supplied a NAPL memorandum to the LWG.

#19 – Kristine will supply a figure that compares cpah risk.

#25 – Kristine provided Carl with back up information today (25th) on how the amount of sheet piling was calculated for the cost estimate.

#27 – Kristine is getting GIS files from CDM. Today (25th) at 10:28 a.m. Kristine provide Carl with ftp site information to obtain GIS files.

#29 – EPA needs to prepare a memorandum explaining the sediment recovery curves resulting from a run of SEDCAM model for the administrative record. Once the explanatory memorandum is completed, EPA will provide the memo and sediment curves to LWG with appropriate disclaimers as to their accuracy.

#40 – Carl will provide more specific information on where there are inconsistencies with the dioxin/furan PRGs between Sections 3 and 4 before EPA can decide how to respond.

Let us know if we missed any items where EPA agreed to provide specific information regarding EPA's July/August draft FS.